

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR -	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,721	07/15/2003	Timothy A. Ringeisen	KN P 0065	7140
42016 KENSEV NAS	7590 12/29/2006 SH CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
KENSEY NASH CORPORATION 735 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE EXTON, PA 19341			· COMSTOCK, DAVID C	K, DAVID C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
	·	<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/619,721	RINGEISEN, TIM	RINGEISEN, TIMOTHY A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		David Comstock	3733		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	25 September 2006			
2a)□		This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for all		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
.—	closed in accordance with the practice und	•	·		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		•	
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		hy the Examiner	~	
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to	·	-		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			ER 1 121(d)	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 H S C	& 119(a) ₋ (d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eight phonty under 55 0.0.0.	3 113(a)-(a) or (i).		
u).	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority docume		Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			l Stage	
	application from the International Bu	•	Trocerou in ano readonal	Clago	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.		
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No.	(s)/Mail Date		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application		
, ape		٠, 🗀 ٥١،١٥٠	 -		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,721

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

It has become apparent that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species and requires restriction:

Bendable polymer:

- I. Fig. 2A
- II. Fig. 2C
- III. Fig. 2E

Additional material:

- i. Biologically active agents and delivery material
- ii. Strength or rigidity imparting material
- iii. Radioopaque material
- iv. Material to alter resorption rate

The species are independent or distinct because they are separate embodiments that are not used together, they have different physical forms, and/or they have different specific modes of operation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species comprising one form of the bendable polymer and one form of the "additional material" for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 10/619,721

Art Unit: 3733

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,721

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUARDO C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER